## For Distribution to CPs

## **Press Complaints Commission**

28<sup>th</sup> February 2005

The Rt. Hon. The Lord Kilclooney of Armagh House of Lords London SW1A 0PW

Sir Christopher Mever

Members of the Commission Matti Alderson Roger Alton Professor The Lord Chan **Edmund Curran** Mary Francis Dr. Arthur Hearnden Vivien Hepworth Paul Horrocks

Charles McGhee Adam Phillips Eve Salomon Dianne Thompson The Right Rev. John Waine KCVO

> Director Tim Toulmin

Paul Dacre

Jane Ennis

Peter Hill

Dear Lord Kilclooney

Thank you for your letter of 24<sup>th</sup> February.

It will not surprise you to hear that, in our opinion, the PCC has increasingly demonstrated over the last fourteen years the success of self-regulation of the newspaper and magazine industry. It is a view that is echoed by our many satisfied customers every year and one that even a recent select committee endorsed when it said that "overall, standards of press behaviour, the Code and the performance of the Press Complaints Commission have improved over the last decade".

However, we are - of course - constantly seeking to continue the process of improvement. Sir Christopher Meyer, when he joined the PCC as Chairman, outlined eight proposed amendments to the system, under the heading 'Permanent Evolution', including increasing the Commission's independence with an extra lay Commissioner and the institution of appeal on procedural grounds for disaffected complainants. I enclose a copy of our 2003 Annual Review in order that you can see the progress that has been made.

But to get to the hard facts you request, which will shortly be published in our 2004 Annual Review. In 2004, we received 3618 complaints, of which it was possible to make 900 formal rulings under the terms of the Code. The PCC instigated 636 investigations during the year. Of those cases, there were 382 possible breaches of the Code, in almost two thirds of which the Commission was able to resolve the complaint to the satisfaction of the complainant. In only 8 cases did a newspaper fail to offer appropriate remedial action to resolve a complaint; those cases were, therefore, upheld. The average time for all complaints to be concluded was just over 17 days; for the 900 cases on which a ruling was possible it was 37 days.

I do hope this information is useful. Please feel free to let me know, if I can be of any further assistance.

With kind regards.

Yours sincerely

Stephen Abell stephen.abell@pcc.org.uk

Assistant Director

1 Salisbury Square London EC4Y 8JB Telephone 020 7353 1248 Facsimile 020 7353 8355

Textphone for deaf and hard of hearing: 020 7583 2264 email: complaints@pcc.org.uk web site: www.pcc.org.uk

