Daily Record Tuesday, February 9, 2010 Dannii

MS Dannii Minogue complained to the Press Complains Commission through Hackford Jones PR that an a rticle head ined "X Factor Dannii is pregnant", published in the Daily Record on 9 January 2010, intruded into her private life in breach of Clause 3 (Privacy) of the Editors' Code of Practice.

The complaint was upheld.
The article reported that Ms Minogue was expecting a baby

The complaint was upheld. The article reported that Ms Minogue was expecting a baby with herboyfriend, Kris Smith. The complainant sepresentative said that she had not yet hadher 12-weekscanatthetime of publication, and the newspaper had known this. Nonetheless, it had gone ahead to publish the story which represented a gross intrusion into her private life. The newspaper said that it was aware of the general "first or "rule in regard to preggy. However, thenews of the "nancyhadbeenin the publication, appearing on the Faded Youth blogandon the SydneyMorning Herald website the previous day. In those circumstances, the news had already cased to be private. The newspaper argued that information is either "in" or "not in" the public domain, it cannot be partially in the public domain. Nonethe-

either 'in' or 'not in' the public domain; it cannot be partial in the public domain. Nonetheless, the newspaper was happy to publish an apology to the complainant, as a gesture of goodwill.

Adjudication

The Commission's case law on this matter is absolutely clear: 'As a matter of common sense newspapers and magazines should not reveal news of an individual's pregnancy with-

anindividual's pregnancy with-out consent before the 12-week scan, unless the information is known to such an extent that it known to such an extent that it would be perverse not to refer to it." This is because this scan carneveal complications relating to the health of the babyand the viability of the pregnancy. For the newspaper to justify publication on this occasion, it would have to a rgue that the references in the Sydney Morning Herald and online – which were, in any event, speculative 'deit "perverse" for in not to referred to the pregnancy. swas manifestly an unten-

referred to the pregnancy, swas manifestly an untenable argument and was rejected by the Commission. The Code specifically requires the Commission to have regard to the "extent" to which the information has previously appeared. This was no more than common sense; otherwise, any reference on line would represent automatic justification for a newspaper to publish otherwise intrusive material. intrusive material.

On this occasion, the Com On this occasion, the Com-mission considered that the articleconstituted are grettable lapse in editorial judgement at the newspaper. It had no hesitation in upholding the complaint.

## V-sign Louis is cleared

TELLY watchdogs Ofcom yesterdayrejected complaints over the V-sign Louis Walsh flashed at X Factor viewers who booed his act, ledward.

Ofcom received 279 complaints about Louis's gesture, but ruled that it was "fleeting and unclear" and "would not have upset most people".



SAINTS STUN COLTS - PAGE 45

in the Super Bowl. Brad stroked Angelina's back and nuzzled her neck as they chatted to pals in the stands. And

**HERO:** Brees with trophy

Page 3