ReedSmith

Michael Skrein

Reed Smith LLP The Broadgate Tower 20 Primrose Street London EC2A 2RS Phone: Fax: DX1066 Uty / DX18 London reedsmith.com

2 February 2012

Our Ref: SPMS/766969.2

The Rt. Hon. Lord Justice Leveson The Leveson Inquiry Royal Courts of Justice Strand London WC2A 2LL

Dear Judge

We wrote to you on 11 January on behalf of Gordon Brown and you kindly permitted Mr Jay QC to read out our letter. Mr Brown earnestly asks for the same courtesy in respect of this letter.

On 17 January evidence was given to your Inquiry by John Witherow, the Editor of *The Sunday Times*. There has rightly been wide reporting of evidence. If this evidence stands without answer likely to be reported similarly, it will serve to mislead. That cannot be the purpose of the taking of evidence.

There was a lengthy exchange between Mr Jay and the witness about the public interest (pages 9 to 14). Mr Jay took Mr Witherow to paragraph 25 of his witness statement, where he talked of stretching the rules and subterfuge, which he said was "vital ... as long as it is in the public interest".

Mr Witherow's emphatic evidence was that "We wouldn't do fishing". Yet he related (pages 24 and 25) a story that was untrue, and based on "fishing". He said that Mr Brown "had purchased a flat which came from the estate of Robert Maxwell" (the sale to Mr Brown, through an estate agent, was not by the estate of Robert Maxwell), Geoffrey Robinson MP "had played a part as a director" (he played no part) and the flat was bought at a substantial undervalue (it was not). Mr Witherow perpetuated the suggestion that this was an under the counter sale, though Mr Brown's then lawyer had pointed out that the flat had been advertised in, ironically, *The Sunday Times*. The reality was that there was nothing to this story, a story given another outing in this evidence.

Mr Witherow was the Editor. His evidence should not be allowed to leave the impression that his allegation was true, justifying blagging and using an impersonator to get personal information, all the more so after his evidence that "fishing" was antithetical to the public interest.

Yours faithfully,

Reed Smith LLP

Leed Smalt LLP is a subset patternile repeated in England and Wales with registered number OCCODESD and is registered inflos at The Breadgate Tover, 20 Prinnose Seet, London EC2A 2458 Reed Smalt LLP is authorized and republied by the Scholass Registeria Authority, A for of the members of Aced Smalt LLP, and their protestional qualifications, is available at the registered effice. The term partner is used to refer to a member of Reed Smalt LLP or an employee of equivalent standarg.

Road Sman LIP is apportants with Rend Smith LLP of Delaware, USA and the offices releved to below are affices of either Roed Smith LLP or Reed Smith LLP of Delaware, USA.

NEW YORK & LONDON & HONG KONG & CHICAGO & WASHINGTON, D.C. & BEJJING & PARIS & LOS ANGELES & SAN FRANCISCO & PHILADELPHA & SHANGHAL & PITTSBURGH MUNICH & ABU BHABH & PRINCETON & MORTHERN VIRGINIA & WILLINGTON & SRJCON VALLEY & DUBAL & CENTURY CITY & RICHMOND & GREECE & OAKLAND

EME_ACTIVE-554444671.1

ReedSmith

Michael Skrein

Reed Smith LLP The Broadgate Tower 20 Primrose Street London EC2A 2RS Phone: Fax: J DX1066 City / DX18 London reedsmith.com

11 January 2012

Our Ref: 766969.00002

The Rt. Hon. Lord Justice Leveson The Leveson Inquiry Royal Courts of Justice Strand London WC2A 2LL

Dear Judge

We represent the Rt. Hon. Gordon Brown, MP.

You heard evidence from Kelvin MacKenzie on Monday 9 January. His evidence, about an alleged call at the time of the Labour Party Conference of September 2009, was:

"That night a furious Brown called Murdoch and, in Rupert's words, 'roared at me for 20 minutes'.".

When asked the source for the story, Mr MacKenzie replied: "It was Mr Murdoch". His evidence was that:

"At the end, Brown said, 'You're trying to destroy me and my party. I will destroy you and your company'.".

The story is completely untrue. It is important that it does not become accepted as a fact. A respected national newspaper has already raised it as if it were a fact in the course of a PCC investigation of a complaint made by Mr Brown (which was upheld). Mr Brown has a clear recollection of the calls he had with Mr Murdoch when he was Prime Minister. He had no such conversation with Mr Murdoch at any time during the Conference. Thus, the words attributed to him by Mr MacKenzie were not said by him to Mr Murdoch, and the statement attributed to Mr Murdoch by Mr MacKenzie is factually wrong. The account is not an accurate reflection of events. Mr MacKenzie's hearsay statement was not tested as to its reliability or credibility in the Inquiry. Yet the press reported it, and that evidence, substantially - as fact. This story should not become an accepted account of the relationship between the press and politicians. And the incident provides an insight into the difficulties any individual faces in establishing a true and fair record of events.

ours faithfully red

Reed Smith

Reed Smith LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC303620 and its registered office at The Broadgate Tower, 20 Primrose Street, London EC2A 2RS Reed Smith LLP is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority. A list of the members of Reed Smith LLP, and their professional qualifications, is available at the registered office. The term partner is used to refer to a member of Reed Smith LLP or an employee of equivalent standing.

Reed Smith LLP is associated with Reed Smith LLP of Delaware, USA and the offices referred to below are offices of either Reed Smith LLP or Reed Smith LLP of Delaware, USA. IEW YORK & LONDON & HONG KONG & CHICAGO & WASHINGTON, D.C. & BELING & PARIS & LOS ANGELES & SAN FRANCISCO & PHILADELPHIA & SHANGHAI & PITTSBURGH

MUNICH 🔹 ABU DHABI 🔶 PRINCETON 🗧 NORTHERN VIRGINIA 🗧 WILMINGTON 🕏 SKLICON VALLEY 🕈 DUBAI 🔶 CENTURY CITY 🕏 RICHMOND 🕏 GREECE 🗢 OAKLAND

EME_ACTIVE-554285273.2-MSKREIN 11/1/12 6:52 PM